

July 8, 1999

2345 '99 JUL 19 P2:01

William Hubbard
Dockets Management Branch (HFA305)
Food and Drug Administration
5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061
Rockville, MD 20852

Re: Docket #98N-1038 "Irradiation in the Production, Processing, and Handling of Food"


Dear Mr. Hubbard,


I am not only extremely concerned but also dumbfounded about the prospect of weakening or eliminating the labeling requirements for irradiating food. Concerned because I and many others believe there is a risk in irradiating food. Dumbfounded because I have a right to know no matter how you or the "industry" feel about irradiation. Any foods, or any foods containing ingredients that have been treated by irradiation, should be clearly labeled with a written statement on the principal display panel indicating such treatment. The statement should be easy to read and placed in close proximity to the name of the food and accompanied by the radura, the international symbol of irradiated food. If the food is not packaged, this information should be clearly displayed on a poster and in plain view and adjacent to where the product is displayed for sale.

Like other labels required by federal law, irradiation labels must be truthful and not misleading. Proposals to use euphemistic phrases like "cold pasteurization" are inappropriate because pasteurization is an entirely different process involving rapid heating and cooling. The terms "treated with radiation" or "treated by irradiation" should be retained because they accurately portray the irradiation process. The radura symbol without written disclosure is not adequate.

Consumers have a right to know how their food has been processed. Please ensure that labeling requirements are strengthened, not weakened.

Sincerely,


Brad Turner


Terri Lang

98N 1038

C3991

Jane E Henney, M.D., Commissioner
Food & Drug Admin.
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 14-71
Rockville, MD 20852

20852+1730

